

Table 1 - OMFS Nationally

Nation	Number of training posts	Syllabus / knowledge	Surgical experience/competence	Certification Guidelines	Recruitment	Post-training Fellowships	Cost of training	Support for less than full time training	In dual degree OMFS nations	Dual degree	Easy path to training for both dentists and doctors	
Descriptor	Number of training posts matched to European benchmark of OMFS specialist	Teaching programme covering all areas defined by OMFS ETR	Experience defined with indicative numbers of key procedures.	Definition of training end-point with check-list	Recruitment process into specialty training is open, fair and effective	Post-certification fellowship availability to develop sub-specialty interests	Trainees paying for elements of their own training – e.g. portfolio use or study leave	Support for less than full time training		Second degree incorporated into specialty training	Pathway for dentist and doctors	
Green	>2 per 100,000 specialists	National syllabus - covers entire OMFS ETR	Indicative numbers required to complete training which includes competencies at level 4.	Externally assessed checklist	Multi-domain process with written person specifications and job descriptions	Formal post-fellowship programmes	All training costs are within the programme including all mandatory requirements	Access to LTFT on request		Second degree incorporated and fully funded	A clear, fair route from either first degree should be possible recognising transferrable knowledge and skills.	
Amber	1-2 per 100,000 specialists	Covers the majority of ETR with no gaps in core areas	Indicative numbers but not required to complete training.	Internally assessed checklist	Single step process with written person specifications and job descriptions	Informal programmes	Some costs are shared by the trainees. Mandatory training is provided.	Limited access to LTFT		Formal support during second degree but not incorporated	A route is available but which does not recognise transferrable knowledge and skills	
Red	1 or fewer per 100,000	Some gaps in core areas	No indicative numbers	No checklist	No written person specifications or job description	None	No study budget – trainees pay for everything including mandatory parts	LTFT not supported		No support	Training pathway is inflexible / inequitable, there is not a clear entry point from either medicine first or dental first.	
Austria	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	3		2	2	90%
Belgium	3	3	2	2	3	2	2	3		2	2	80%
Croatia	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	1				75%
Czechia	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3		2	2	90%
Estonia	2	1	2	2	3	1	3	3		1	1	63%
Finland	1	2	3	3	3	2	3	2		2	3	80%
France	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	3				92%
Germany	3	3	3	1	2	3	3	3		2	2	83%
Greece	3	2	3	3	2	1	3	3		3	3	87%
Hungary	2	3	3	3	2	2	3	3		3	3	90%
Ireland	1	3	3	2	3	1	3	3		1	2	73%
Italy	3	2	3	2	2	2	3	1				75%
Latvia	1	3	2	3	3	1	3	1		2	3	73%
Lithuania	2	3	3	2	3	1	3	1				75%
Netherlands	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3		3	3	100%
Norway	2	3	3	2	2	2	3	3				83%
Poland	1	3	3	3	1	1	3	1		2	3	70%
Portugal	2	2	3	2	2	1	2	1				63%
Romania	3	3	2	2	3	1	3	1		3	3	80%
Slovenia	1	3	3	2	3	2	3	2		3	3	83%
Spain	3	3	3	2	3	2	2	1				79%
Sweden	2	2	2	2	3	1	3	1		1	1	60%
Switzerland	3	3	3	1	2	1	2	2		1	3	70%
United Kingdom (UK)	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3		1	1	83%